Nikhef PhD Council Minutes

13th January 2022

Present:

Alexandra, Bouke, Igor, Alessio, Dylan, Serena, Emily, Aleksandra

1. Daily Supervision

- → Contradictions between staff importance/students receiving (eg theory and careers)
- → Contradictions between staffs responses themselves (eg answers and comments)
- → Bar charts→ line graphs to compare students/staff. Y axis percentage of votes, x axis 1-5
- → Theory definitely needs looking at
- → Look at some correlations between individual answers and responses
- → Comments are very important
- → Staff seem to think that Phds should speak up more

2. Planning and evaluation

- → Graphs side by side
 - ◆ Independence important
- → Comparison for neg/pos results for different groups and years (y4 negative), over time PhDs get a better understanding of this (y3 positive though), pandemic also has an effect
- → Graduation requirements, this should be communicated better (shared doc? Which can be checked regularly by us and supervisors)
- → This should be communicated in c3 meetings
- → Year split for training and supervision plan shows y1 fills in fast
- → NOTE: some people didn't fill in group
- → Group shown to management??
- → Plan isn't updated (midterm? In comments)
- → Help pretty in agreement, senior people would like more help
- → SEE PRESENTATION
- → LINK TO PRESENTATION (internal only)

3. Planning and evaluation

- → C3 useful for progress vs issues
- → Progress positive for staff, less so for students
- → 18% said c3 not useful (phds)
- → Issues 48% agreed safe space phds, 60% supervisors

- → Reasons- supervisor always present
- → Was brought up and added to c3 form, not fully solved as still ignored occasionally
- → Made clear that c3 member can be spoken to about issues outside of meetings
- → C3 formal feel, think only about scientific progress (how many?)
- → Issues with nikhef staff- confidential supervisor biased??
- → Group-to-group supervision different

4. Revision and evaluation

- → Suggestions interesting
- → See presentation

5. Action list

- → Send email to joan for meeting with owc
- → After meeting get staff to help with report then can present (topical lectures)
- → Time frame for OWC meeting: mid Feb will present a small amount of results
- → 1 month to prepare report
- → Staff can help with report- will prepare a draft and then pose to them ask for feedback and any additional results they'd like to add
- → Meet council 31st-5th